

# ASSESSMENT OF BIOASSAY TEST OF THE EFFLUENT AND IMPACT OF SELECTED PHYSIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF KOTA SUPER THERMAL POWER PLANT'S EFFLUENT ON KOTA BARRAGE LAKE WATER, KOTA RAJASTHAN INDIA.

# Parveen Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Manju Rawat Ranjan<sup>1\*</sup>, Ashutosh Tripathi<sup>1</sup> and S. Balachandran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Amity Institute of Environmental Sciences, Amity University, Noida-201313 (Uttar Pradesh) India. <sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental Studies, Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731204 (West Bengal) India.

# Abstract

In this study, the quantitatively assessment of the acute toxicity of effluent and impact of selected physiochemical properties of Kota super thermal power plant's effluent on Kota barrage lake water, Kota, Rajasthan, India. Determining impact of the pollutants on natural water bodies is a time consuming and uneconomical task if one tries to measure levels of each pollutant in order to determine its impact so acute toxicological impact was determined by bioassay test. For determining the impact, water sampling was carried out judgementally from designated points nearby confluence point of effluent and analysed for physiochemical properties using standard methods of APHA. Impact of selected parameters such as temperature, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), dissolved oxygen (DO), total organic carbon (TOC), chloride and sulphate was studied for twelve months from July 2015 to June 2016. Annual range concentration of physiochemical properties was determined as themperature (23.1-36.1°C), pH (6.4-8.1), EC (280-357 $\mu$ S/cm), TDS (180-241 mg/1), DO (1.3-7.1 mg/1), TOC (1.6-3.86 mg/1), Chloride (25.5-41 mg/1) and Sulphate (8.88-24.2 mg/1). The impact of effluent on Kota barrage lake water was determined as physiochemical properties were decreasing in following order: DO >TOC > Temperature > Sulphate > Chloride > TDS > EC > pH, with respect the values of coefficient of variation. On calculating the analysis of variation (ANOVA) of parameters, it was concluded at 5% level of significance, samples had come from populations having the same mean. On observation of the bioassay test it was concluded that the Surviving of the fish was 100% for 96 hours, so results was found Satisfactory.

*Key words*: Selected physiochemical properties, Kota Barrage Lake, Coefficient of variation and Analysis of variation (ANOVA), Bioassay test.

# Introduction

Rivers are the most important freshwater resource for man. Unfortunately, river waters are being polluted by indiscriminate disposal of sewerage, industrial waste and plethora of human activities, which affects their physic-chemical characteristics. Increasing numbers and amounts of industrial, agricultural and commercial chemicals discharged into the aquatic environment have led to various deleterious effects on aquatic organisms. Hence, water quality monitoring is essential for the assessment of pollution control is needed in different water bodies or their part and to assess fitness of water for different uses. Determining impact of the pollutants on natural water bodies is a time consuming and uneconomical task if one tries to measure levels of each pollutant in order to determine, its impact so acute toxicological impact was determined by bioassay test. The following discussions were related solely to that the evolution of level and impact of selected physiochemical parameters of Kota Super thermal power plant's effluent on Kota barrage lake water quality and bioassay test of effluent. Thermal pollution affects the distribution of populations on both small and large geographical scales (Wilson, 1981) and determines the structure of communities and ecosystems (Glynn, 1988) by affecting the physiological processes and behaviour of fish species

\*Author for correspondence : E-mail: pksingh111986@gmail.com, mrranjan@amity.edu.

(Dembski et al., 2006). Among the various factors governing fish life in pond, the dissolved oxygen is of primary importance, as all fishes, as well as their food organisms, depend on dissolved oxygen for their respiration (Biswas, 1990). Temperature is among the most important environmental variable that influence behaviour of aquatic poikilotherms (Kinne, 1963). Organic matter decomposition in surface water produces inorganic nutrients such as ammonia, nitrate and phosphate with resulting effects of eutrophication and other serious ecological problems of such water body (Ogunfowokan et al., 2005). The main source of oxygen for the aquatic organism is by diffusion of oxygen in water and also by photosynthesis (Singh and Raje, 1998). The low dissolved oxygen is common in aquatic system especially estuarine and marine system that have high nutrient loading and are seasonally stratified into water with different densities. This stratification allows microbial degradation of organic matter of water (Kolar and Rabel, 1993). Water-borne diseases continue to pose a major threat to public health both in the developed and developing world (Ford, 1990).

# Material and Methods

# Study area and field study

Kota is a city located in the southeast of northern Indian state of Rajasthan. It is located around 250 kilometres south of the state capital Jaipur, situated on the banks of Chambal River. The cartographic coordinates are 25.18°N 75.83°E. The Chambal River separates these districts from Kota district, forming the natural boundary. Kota thermal power plant is located on the bank of Chambal River in Kota city. The effluent of Kota thermal power plant has been drained in to Chambal River near Kota Barrage. The map (fig. 1) on the following page shows Kota where the Chambal River is located and selected sampling points. Six sampling points (A, B, C, D, E and F) were taken from the confluence point as 2.5 km, 2.0 km, 1.5 km, 1.0 km, 0.7 km and 0.5 km respectively.

Six sampling stations established along the stretch of Chambal river upstream (US) midstream (MS) and downstream (DS) to Kota thermal Power Plant (KTPP) for collection of water samples. The study was carried out for one year on monthly basis in all seasons *viz*. summer, monsoon and winter seasons. Water samples were processed for their physicochemical parameters. Important physicochemical parameters like Temperature, pH, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Chlorides (Cl<sup>-</sup>), Sulphates (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>--</sup>) and Total organic content (TOC) had been investigated according to American Public Health Association (APHA, 2012).

The upstream, midstream and downstream sampling stations were visited in every month season during July 2015 to June 2016. The study was limited to six sample points A, B, C, D, E and F representing sample point A (upstream: the river before the point of wastewater discharge. B, C, D, E) (midstream: the river at the point of wastewater discharge and F) downstream: the river after the point of wastewater discharge. Precautions were taken to ensure that the samples were representative of the river. The water samples were collected from amber coloured bottles in the morning time between 9-11 am from six selected sampling points in Chambal River.

Methodology for bioassay test is based on IS (Indian Standard): 6582-1971 R-1992. It is validated to account for variation in standard method which deals with determination of acute toxicity, whereas the standards for the discharge of effluent require only compliance to the condition that "90 percent (%) survival of fish after 96 hours in 100% effluent" is there or not.

## **Conducting Test and Reporting**

Use 5 to 10 fish for main test. All fish to be introduced to test jars in 30 minutes. Add one fish to one jar (Preferably can use 2 to 2.5 liter beakers/jars, wide mouth and made of glass) containing one liter of 100% effluent. (May use 500 ml or 750 ml if the test effluent available is less in quantity or can add fish together in the jar. It is desirable to maintain a ratio of about 1 g of weight of fish per liter of liquid) Observe for next 96 hours. Record and remove if any fish die during the test. A fish is declared dead if it does not move when touched. If 90% of the fish survive in 100% effluent report results as complies. If more than 10% fish die during this period report as 'Does not comply.'

	Temp.	pН	EC	TDS	DO	TOC	Chloride	Sulphate
Min	23.1	6.4	280	180	1.3	1.6	25.5	8.88
Max	36.1	8.1	357	241	7.1	3.86	41	24.2
Mean	28.87778	7.426389	301.2222	200.2222	4.885556	2.222639	30.10319	17.18542
Variance	21.4333	0.136618	356.5978	207.6119	1.812239	0.19129	16.00655	5.839287
Stand. dev	4.629611	0.369618	18.8838	14.40874	1.346194	0.437367	4.000818	2.416462
<b>Coefficient of variation</b>	16.03174	4.977095	6.269059	7.196376	27.55458	19.67784	13.29034	14.06112

 Table 1: Annual Summery statistics of parameters in Kota Barrage lake water.

Parameters	At point	At point	%	Status
	<b>'A'</b>	<b>'F'</b>	Change	
Temperature (°C)	28.766	29.266	1.738	Increased
pH value	7.458	7.333	1.676	Decreased
E.C. (µS/cm)	300	303.917	1.305	Increased
T.D.S. (mg/1)	197.75	203.333	2.823	Increased
D.O. (mg/1)	5.258	4.421	15.918	Decreased
T.O.C. (mg/1)	2.123	2.295	8.102	Increased
Chloride (mg/1)	28.633	31.8	11.060	Increased
Sulphate (mg/1)	17.016	17.814	4.689	Increased

**Table 2:** Percentage of change in parameters of upstream and downstream in Kota Barrage lake water.

#### Interference

Extreme volatility, instability and rapid detoxification of the effluent components and excessive oxygen demand can render the routine bio-assay method inapplicable or can cause serious bias in the results.

The 100% effluent water was collected for toxicity assessment. The toxicity assessment was carried by Bioassay test in which the effluent water was collected in aquarium. The five number of natural accruing fishes from uncontaminated or upstream of Chambal river were taken in aquarium and observing their living status for 96 hours.

**Statistical analysis of results:** One way ANOVA test for six sampling points means were as following.

**Table 3:** One way ANOVA test for Temperature.Test for equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	F	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	T.	(same)
Between groups	4.42611	5	0.885222	0.0385	0.9992
Within groups	1517.34	66	22.99	-	-
Total	1521.76	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.0385 < 2.354, we accept  $H_0$  (null hypothesis) at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples have come from populations having the same mean.

**Table 4:** One way ANOVA test for pH.Test for equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	F	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	-	(same)
Between groups	0.289028	5	0.0578056	0.4054	0.8434
Within groups	9.41083	66	0.142588	-	-
Total	9.69986	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.4054 < 2.354, we accept H<sub>0</sub> at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples have come from populations having the same mean.

**Table 5:** One way ANOVA test for Electrical Conductivity (EC).

 Test for equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	F	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	I.	(same)
Between groups	471.778	5	94.3556	0.2506	0.9381
Within groups	24846.7	66	376.465	-	-
Total	25318.4	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.2506 < 2.354, we accept H<sub>0</sub> at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples have come from populations having the same mean.

 Table 6: One way ANOVA test for TDS.

Test for equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	Б	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	Г	(same)
Between groups	511.778	5	102.356	0.4748	0.7938
Within groups	14228.7	66	215.586	-	-
Total	14740.4	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.4054 < 2.354, we accept  $H_0$  at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples have come from populations having the same mean.

**Table 7:** One way ANOVA test for Dissolved Oxygen (DO).Test for equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	F	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	Ľ	(same)
Between groups	7.67439	5	1.53488	0.8108	0.5462
Within groups	124.942	66	1.89305	-	-
Total	132.616	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.8108 < 2.354, we accept H<sub>0</sub> at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples have come from populations having the same mean.

 Table 8: One way ANOVA test for TOC.

Test for equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	F	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	-	(same)
Between groups	0.371774	5	0.0743547	0.3715	0.8664
Within groups	13.2098	66	0.200149	-	-
Total	13.5816	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.3715 < 2.354, we accept  $H_0$  at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples had come from populations having the same mean.

#### **Bioassay test results**

There were 10 numbers of fishes taken in aquarium that had fresh 100% effluent water. Fishes which were caught from uncontaminated area of Chambal River. Fishes were taken small size and low weight. The

Table 9:	One way ANOVA test for Chloride.
Test for e	equal means:

Source of	Sum of	Degreeof	Mean	Б	р
variation	squares	freedom	square	Г	(same)
Between groups	113.925	5	22.785	1.471	0.2113
Within groups	1022.54	66	15.493	-	-
Total	1136.46	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 1.471 < 2.354, we accept  $H_0$  at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples had come from populations having the same mean.

 Table 10: One way ANOVA test for Sulphate.

Test for equal means:

Source of variation	Sum of squares	Degreeof freedom	Mean square	F	p (same)
Between groups	17.1626	5	3.43251	0.57	0.7226
Within groups	397.427	66	6.02162	-	-
Total	414.589	71	-	-	-

F(5,66) = 2.354 at 5% level of significance.

As calculated F = 0.57 < 2.354, we accept  $H_0$  at 5% level of significance and conclude that samples had come from populations having the same mean.

dissolved oxygen was maintained in aquarium more than 4 mg/l and aquarium were kept in AC laboratory room and temperature was maintained 25°C.

# **Results and discussion**

The range of temperature in studied period was 23.1 to  $36.1^{\circ}$ C and coefficient of variation was 16.031. The percentage of change in temperature in upstream and downstream sampling points was 1.738% increased (Table 2). On calculating ANOVA test the calculated F value was 0.0385 *i.e.* less than the critical value of F (5, 66) is 2.354 at 5% level of significance. As par ANOVA test samples had come from populations having the same mean (Table 3). The percentage of change in

$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$	<b>L</b> 1.	11	
1a	Die	п	

Date	Time (Hours)	DO(mg/l)	Remark
12/04/2017	0	>4	ok
	1	>4	ok
	2	>4	ok
	4	>4	ok
	6	>4	ok
	8	>4	ok
	12	>4	ok
	24	>4	ok
	48	>4	ok
	96	>4	ok

Total numbers of fish: 10 Fish surviving in 100% sample for 96 hours: 10,% Surviving in 100% sample for 96 hours: 100%. Results: Satisfactory

pH value was decreased as 1.676% in upstream and downstream sampling points (A & F) (Table 2). On calculating ANOVA test the calculated F value was 0.4054 *i.e.* less than the critical value of F (5, 66) is 2.354 at 5% level of significance. As par ANOVA test samples had come from populations having the same mean (Table 4). The range of electrical conductivity was observed as 280-357 µS/cm. Percentage of change in electrical conductivity was increased as 1.305 % in upstream and downstream sampling points (A & F) (Table 2). The coefficient of variation for TDS was observed as 7.196 it shows the homogeneity of the results of sampling points. On calculating ANOVA test the calculated F value was 0.4748 i.e. less than the critical value of F (5, 66) is 2.354 at 5% level of significance. As par one way ANOVA test, samples had come from populations having the same mean (Table 6). Dissolved oxygen below 5 mg/1 is undesirable in ponds (Boyd, 1990). The coefficient of variation of the dissolved oxygen in studied period was 27.55 i.e. highest among observed parameters, so it shows the highest impact on dissolved oxygen of river water. The percentage of changes of dissolved oxygen in upstream and downstream was observed as 15.92% increased. The percentage of change in TOC was calculated as increased by 8.102% in upstream (A) and downstream (F) sampling points (Table 2). The coefficient of variation for TOC was calculated as 19.68. The second most impact of thermal power plant's effluent on the river water had observed. In river the chloride content is low indicating low pollution. Hence, Chambal river water was effectively used for aquaculture practices. Chloride is one of the major pollutant and is difficult to eliminate (Subramanian et al., 1993), high chloride level due to high eutrophication (Goel et al., 1980). The observed range of chloride contents was 25.5-41.0 mg/1 in studied period. The percentage of change in chloride was observed as 11.060% i.e. increased in downstream (F) with respect to upstream sampling point (A) (Table 2). The percentage of change in sulphate was observed as 4.69% i.e. increased in downstream (F) with respect to upstream sampling point (A) (Table 2).

# Conclusion

The results showed a level of significance for ANOVA test and percentage of change in selected parameters of upstream and downstream in river, the impact of Kota super thermal power plant's effluent on selected physiochemical properties Chambal river water by coefficient of variation. Statistically, there was no significant change in selected physiochemical properties of Chambal River. However in downstream there was the tendency the increasing contents of chloride and TOC, and decreasing Dissolved oxygen in over all sessions and summer session specially. The impact of effluent on Chambal river's physiochemical properties decreased in following order: DO > TOC > Temperature > Sulphate >Chloride > TDS > EC > pH, with respect of the values coefficient of variation. On observation of the bioassay test, it was concluded that the Surviving of the fish was 100% for 96 hours, so Results was found Satisfactory.

# Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Amity University, NOIDA, Uttar Pradesh India for providing their technical help to analyze the samples and all the assistance provided by them during my research work.

## References

- APHA (2012). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 22nd edition. American Public Health Association, New York, USA.
- Biswas, K.P. (1990). A Text Book of Fish, Fisheries and Technology, Narendra Publishing House, New Delhi, India. 31.
- Boyd, C.E. (1990). Water quality management for pond fish culture. Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquaculture. Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn, Alabama, Birmingham Publishing Company, New York.
- Dembski, S., G. Masson, D. Monnier, P. Wagner and J.C. Pihan (2006). Consequences of elevated temperatures of lifehistory traits of an introduced fish, pumpkinseed Lepomis gibbosus. *Journal of Fish Biology.*, 69: 331-346.
- Ford, T.E. (1990). Microbiological Safety of Drinking water,

United States and Global Perspectives, Environ. *Health Perspect.*, **107:**191.

- Glynn, P.W. (1988). El Niño–Southern Oscillation 1982–1983: near-shore population, community and ecosystem responses. *Annual Review of Ecological Systems.*, **19**: 309-345.
- Goel, P.K., B. Gopal and R.K. Trivedy (1980). Impact of Sewage on fresh water ecosystem, Physico-Chemical characteristics of water and their seasonal changes. *Ind. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci.*, 6(2): 97-116.
- Kinne, O. (1963). The effects of temperature and salinity on marine and brackish water animals. I. Temperature. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev., 1: 301-340.
- Kolar, C.S. and E.J. Robel (1993). Interaction of biotic factors (Predator Presence) and an abiotic factor (low oxygen) as an influence on benthic on invertebrate communities. *Oceologia.*, **95:** 210-219.
- Manoharan, C. and G. Subramanian (1993). Feasibility studies on using Cynobacteria in effluent treatment. *Indian Journal Environmental Health.*, **35(2)**: 88-96.
- Ogunfowokan, A.O., E.K. Okoh, A.A. Adenuga and O.I. Asubiojo (2005). An Assessment of the Impact of Point Source Pollution from a University Sewage Treatment Oxidation Pond on a Receiving Stream-A Preliminary Study. *Journal of Applied Sciences.*, **5:** 36-43.
- Singh, V.V. and S.G. Raje (1998). Recurring fish mortalities at Versova stress exigency for new strategies of conservation. *Ind. J. Mar .Sci.*, **27:** 502-505.
- Wilson, J.G (1981). Temperature tolerance of circa tidal bivalves in relation to their distribution. *Journal of Thermal Biology.*, 6: 279-286.